



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,072	08/24/2001	Gregory M. Fehn	09798495-0030	4249
26263	7590	04/14/2004	EXAMINER	
SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP			NOLAN, SANDRA M	
P.O. BOX 061080			ART UNIT	
WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER			PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080			1772	

DATE MAILED: 04/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/939,072

Applicant(s)

FEHN, GREGORY M.

Examiner

Sandra M. Nolan

Art Unit

1772

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

-

DETAILED ACTION

Claims

1. Claims 1-49 are pending. Claims 23-49 are withdrawn.

Withdrawal of Allowance

2. The allowance of claims 1-2 and 4-16 is hereby withdrawn in view of applicant's comments about the examiner's interpretation of "formed on" (as recited in claim 1)

Status of Rejections in 16 October 2003 Office Action

3. The 35 USC 112 rejection of claim 3, set in section 6 of the 16 October 2003 (10-16-03) office action, is withdrawn in part and maintained in part. Specifically

Art Unit: 1772

6. Claims 4-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Fehn does not show the amounts of polypropylene and fluorinated polyethylene recited in claims 4-9.

Response to Arguments/Comment re: "New" Rejection

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 10-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the "new" ground(s) of rejection.

8. It is noted that a section 102 rejection over Fehn was made in the 28 April 2003 office action. It was withdrawn in response to applicant's arguments and the examiner's misinterpretation of "formed on". See section 9 of the 16 October 2003 office action.